



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/767,661	01/22/2001	E-Young Wu .	SIPT116939	2900	
75	90 02/11/2005		EXAM	INER	
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR			KYLE, CHARLES R		
JOHNSON & KINDNESS PLLC Suite 2800 1420 Fifth Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624		
Seattle, WA 9	8101-2347		DATE MAILED: 02/11/2009	DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/767,661	WU, E-YOUNG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles R Kyle	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a like within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Most account to the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the cond	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	its have been received. Its have been received in Ority documents have been It (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Noen received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Claims recite the phrase "real-time." The term is not specific.

The Claims recite the phrase "a...adapted to..." several times. This indicates that the particular element is capable of performing a particular function but does not make clear that the element actually performs the function. For example, "a portable transaction terminal adapted to be operated by a delivery personnel" states that the terminal can be operated by delivery personnel, not that it actually is operated. Claim 2 separately recites this phrase.

The Claims recite the phrase "can be arranged"; no actual arrangement is claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3624

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,387,784 Sarradin in view of US 6,285,916 Kadaba et al.

Concerning Claim 1, Sarradin discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including in a mobile real-time data processing system for use during delivery of a product (Background and Summary of the Invention), system elements of:

a server (Fig. 1, ele. 10; Col. 4, lines 40-59) to establish a connection with a payment control center for conducting non-cash payment (Fig. 1, ele. 20; Col. 2, lines 52-66; Col. 5, line 1 to Col. 6, line 4); and

a portable transaction terminal operated by a delivery personnel who is responsible for delivering the product to a customer (Fig. 1, eles. 1, 2..n; Fig. 2; Col. 4, lines 1-39), said portable transaction terminal including:

means for inputting identification data of an electronic money owned by the customer (Fig. 2, eles. 72, 75; Col. 4, lines 2-4);

means for establishing a communications link with said server (Fig.2, ele. 79; Col. 4, lines 21-24) and for transmitting the identification data to the payment control center via said communications link and said server so that payment for the product can be arranged by the payment control center through the electronic money of the customer (Col. 2, lines 52-66);

means for receiving (Fig.2, ele. 79; Col. 4, lines 21-24) an approval message sent by the payment control center via said server and said communications link when the payment control center has successfully completed arrangements for the payment of the product (Col. 2, lines 52-66);

Art Unit: 3624

means for generating a printed receipt upon receiving the approval message (Fig. 2, ele. 81, Col. 4, lines 34-37.

Sarradin does not specifically disclose sending a delivery confirmation to a server upon completion of delivery of a product. Kadaba discloses this limitation at Col. 6, line 40 to Col. 7, line 4 and Col. 8, lines 21-63. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the payment functions of Sarradin with the delivery aspects of Kadaba because this would facilitate payment at delivery points for delivered goods; although set in a non-cash environment, the combination would make possible payment analogous to "Cash on Delivery", an old and well known concept.

With respect to Claim 2, Sarradin does not specifically disclose a payment gateway server. Official Notice is taken that it was old and well known to use a payment gateway. For example, a payment gateway supported Internet payment payments processing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sarradin to include a payment gateway because this would allow function on the Internet. Kadaba further discloses a delivery-managing server at Fig. 1, ele. 45 and Col. 1, lines 23-34.

With respect to Claim 3, Sarradin further discloses a banking institution, frequently a credit card issuer, and payment by credit card at Col. 2, lines 57-67 and Col. 6, lines 1-4.

As to Claim 4, Sarradin does not specifically disclose input, display and sending means for product data. Kadaba discloses these at col. 4, line 50 to Col. 3, line 55. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sarradin to

Art Unit: 3624

include these features because this would provide required functionality for identifying and confirming delivered product and supplying a central data repository with delivery data.

With respect to Claim 5, Kadaba further discloses a bar code reader at Col. 4, line 50 to Col. 5, line 11 and Col. 5, line 56 to Col. 6, line 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sarradin to use a bar code reader because this would utilize a common product identification coding.

Concerning Claims 6 and 7, Kadaba further discloses a touch panel for signature capture at Col. 6, line 40 to Col. 7, line 4 and Col. 4, lines 40-42. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sarradin to use a touch panel for signature capture because this would facilitate capture of information positively indicating receipt of goods by a consumer.

Regarding Claim 8, Sarradin discloses a keypad at Fig. 2, ele. 72.

Regarding Claim 9, Sarradin discloses a magnetic card reader at Col. 4, lines 3-4.

With respect to Claim 10, Sarradin does not specifically disclose the use of smart cards and their readers for payment. Official Notice is taken that it was old and well known to use such devices for payment. For example, electronic wallets frequently used such devices. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sarradin to include a smart card reader to make use of a common, convenient payment means.

Concerning Claim 11, Sarradin discloses a wireless communication link at Col. 2, line 26-29.

With respect to Claim 12, Sarradin does not specifically encryption of card identification data. Official Notice is taken that it was old and well known to do such

Art Unit: 3624

encryption. For example, credit card numbers were encrypted by such algorithms as RSA. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify *Sarradin* to include such encryption to provide card identifier security.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 6,163,772

Kramer et al

for payment gateways and encryption.

US 5,748,737

Daggar

for smart card readers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R Kyle whose telephone number is (703) 305-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

crk February 5, 2005 Examiner Charles Kyle

Chales 15h